

**EG on Prison Health
First Meeting
Berlin, Germany
May 11th to 12th 2016**



Meeting venue: German Federal Ministry of Health, Berlin

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| <i>Reference</i> | <i>PH 1/8/1</i> |
| <i>Title</i> | <i>DRAFT Work plan of the Prison Health Expert Group of the NDPHS</i> |
| <i>Submitted by</i> | <i>PH EG Chair</i> |
| <i>Requested action</i> | <i>For reference</i> |

Workplan of the Prison Health Expert Group of the NDPHS

D A R F T

Working Period 2016

Lead Country: Germany Chair: Marc Lehmann
C- Lead County: Latvia Vice Chair: Jana Feldmane (to be elected)

Introduction to the EG work plan of Prison Health EG

Participating countries and EG Members agree that the work plan will be developed while strictly following the NDPHS Action Plan.

The Work plan will be updated annually. This means that the actual work plan must be revised latest at the end of 2016.

The work plan is exclusively targeting Objective 1, point 5-7 and Objective 5, point 5 of the NDPHS action plan. Other potential targets that could also be involved are left out.

Furthermore the EG will cooperate with all other EG to answer relevant questions and solve open matters regarding prison health.

The EG takes into account that prison health is mainly a crosscutting issue and that close collaborations with other NDPHS EG are needed.

The EG emphasizes that due to the delay in building up a new EG there is only a working period of about 6 months to be covered in 2016. This leads to limited resources of the group.

Additionally to the specific work plan further tasks as routine duties are needed. Those need to be performed like progress reports.

Named members/experts of EG take leadership for work plan packages WP.

So far the work packages must be fulfilled until the end of the year.

WHO remarks should be discussed during the meeting on May 11th.

| Expected result | Indicator | Actions / Activities | Deliverables | Responsibility | Time Period | Resources/ Assumptions/ Remarks |
|---|---|---|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| 1..5 Better knowledge on how to improve control of infectious diseases in prisons , especially regarding HIV, TB and HIV-TB co-infection | No. of countries fulfilling diagnostic processes for TB resistance in line with international standards | Define optimal international standard for TB resistance monitoring which shall be used in prison services, note that involved organizations are not primarily of medical Origin Regard resources | Definition | HIV | WP 1 | |
| | | Describe adequate monitoring process for prison in line with international standards, | Description | PH | WP 1 | |
| | | Discuss and explain monitoring process to participating countries Refer to benefit when reporting, budgetary aspects should be mentioned | | PH | WP 1 | |
| | | Request commitment and collect monitored data from local authorities/countries Evaluate data Count countries fulfilling process | Report | HIV/PH PH | WP 1 | |

de CC
Comm
duplica

de CC
Comm
collecti
prisons
annual

de CC
Comm
Health
should
to becc

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | Report data | | | | |
| | .Nof countries monitoring the number of TB (with detected resistances MDR or XDR) plus HIV cases, according to international standards | <p>Define expected dataset, keep limited and clear.</p> <p>Describe/suggest adequate monitoring process for prison in line with international standards</p> <p>Explain monitoring process to participating countries</p> <p>Request commitment and collect monitored data from local authorities/countries</p> <p>Evaluate data</p> <p>Count countries fulfilling process</p> <p>Report data</p> | <p>Definition</p> <p>Description Dataset</p> <p>Report</p> | <p>HIV/PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>HIV/PH</p> <p>PH</p> | <p>WP 1</p> <p>WP 1</p> <p>WP 1</p> <p>WP 1</p> <p>2017</p> | |
| 6. Raised awareness of harm on health from imprisonment , in accordance with WHO Europe/HIPP and CPT standards, | <p>No. of countries developing measures to achieve CPT standards</p> <p>No. of countries developing measures to achieve WHO/HIPP standards</p> | Adjust or develop a questionnaire for evaluating raised awareness, suggested is the use of parts of UNODC Instrument | Monitoring instrument | PH+ASA | WP 2 | Ulrich: Not aware whether proportion and number of prison inmates imprisoned based on drug-related |

de COLOMBANI, Pier..., 30/4/2016 07:17

Comment [4]: Increased awareness does not necessarily increase behaviour. I would suggest here to have a study on ways, means and tools for effective health communication in prisons, with evaluation on knowledge-attitude-practice-behaviour before and after intervention


| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| <p>especially regarding HIV and TB</p> | | <p>Inform about good practice, distribute (translate) available materials widely</p> <p>Explain monitoring process to participating countries</p> <p>Point out benefits</p> <p>Request commitment and collect monitoring data from local authorities</p> <p>Evaluate date</p> <p>Count countries fulfilling process</p> <p>Report data</p> | <p>Internet platform</p> <p>Request-letter</p> <p>Dataset</p> <p>Report</p> | <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>ASA PH</p> <p>PH</p> | <p>WP 2</p> <p>WP 2</p> <p>WP 2</p> <p>2017</p> | <p>offences is already part of routinely collected information. If not, this would be an important indicator.</p> |
| <p>7. Improved linkages between medical care in prisons and community public health services</p> | <p>No. of countries establishing a through care situation for HIV & TB patients</p> | <p>Describe goal good through care situation</p> <p>Develop instrument to monitor progress</p> <p>Organize Information for stakeholder</p> <p>Explain monitoring process to participating</p> | <p>Target-description</p> <p>Monitoring instrument</p> <p>Internet platform</p> <p>Request Letter</p> <p>Dataset</p> | <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> | <p>WP 3</p> <p>WP 3</p> <p>WP 3</p> <p>WP 3</p> | |

de COLOMBANI, Pier..., 30/4/2016 07:19

Comment [5]: I suggest here to document best practices of NGOs inside and outside prisons to ensure continuum of care

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | <p>countries, Point out benefits Request commitment and collect monitoring data from local authorities</p> <p>Evaluate data</p> <p>Count countries fulfilling process</p> | Report | PH | 20176 | |
| 5.5. Improved knowledge on and application of international standards on prison health and building more healthy conditions in prison | No. of countries developing procedures in accordance with international standards and recommendations | <p>List international standards and documents for participating countries and point out ratification by countries</p> <p>Publish and distribute overview</p> <p>Organize expert exchange visit program on good practice</p> <p>Plan Conference</p> <p>Hold international conference on implementation of Standards with relevant</p> | <p>List of documents</p> <p>Thematic report</p> <p>Study visit</p> <p>Time- and Budgetplan</p> <p>Conference</p> | <p>PH</p> <p>PH</p> <p>PH plus?</p> <p>All EGs, leading PH/Hiv</p> <p>PH plus?</p> | <p>WP 4</p> <p>WP 4</p> <p>2017</p> <p>WP 4</p> <p>2017</p> | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------|----------|------|--|
| | | stakeholders Induce stakeholders and support project plans in particular prison or Region | Project | Ph PLUSB | WP 4 | |
|--|--|--|---------|----------|------|--|



Work packages for 2016

Work package 1 Objective 1, Point 5

HIV, TB

Responsible EG member/-s:

Work package 2 Objective 1 Point 6

Harm reduction

Responsible EG member/-s:

Work package 3 Objective 1 Point 7

Throughcare

Responsible EG member/-s:

Work package 4 Objective 5 Point 5

Int. Standards

Responsible EG member/-s:

