

**NDPHS Evaluation Team 2013
First Meeting
Helsinki, Finland
5 February 2013**

Reference	ET2013 1/6.1/1
Title	Interview-guideline for conversations
Submitted by	ET Consultant
Summary / Note	-
Requested action	For discussion and decision

Framework, scope and objectives

1.	Do you support the overall objectives of the Partnership as stated in the Declaration (is the mandate still valid? What role should the Partnership play? What changes do you propose?)
2.	Where do you see links between the NDPHS and other ND activities (such as Barents, BEAC; etc.)? How do you judge the specific role of the EU? How important to you consider NDPHS' involvement in the EUSBSR? Has this been beneficial?
3.	What is the role of national governments and of international organisations for the Partnership? Are they complementary? Do you expect "more" from particular members?
4.	What is the "image" of the NDPHS? Does it need to be improved? If yes, how could this be achieved? Who should be the target group for image/PR-activities? Is there a gap between the outward image of the organisation and its actual capabilities?

Overall structure and organisation

5.	Is the current organisational structure satisfying for you, and does it meet your expectations and /or needs?
6.	Are you sufficiently involved in the Partnership? Are other Partners sufficiently involved? Would you propose additional partners?
7.	How sustainable is the current structure of the NDPHS (commitment, financial resources)?
8.	Does the decision-making process of the Partnership Annual Conference and CSR satisfy you?
9.	How satisfactorily are decisions of the CSR or PAC being implemented? Who implements them? Are you aware and sufficiently informed about implementation? Are you happy with the monitoring? Did you have the opportunity (CSR-representatives) or the desire (EG/TG-representatives) to influence the decision-making process?
10.	Is there anything you would like to change in the organisational structure of the Partnership?
11.	Is there anything you would like to change in the working methods and decision making mechanisms of the Partnership, especially as they are formulated in the mid-term strategy?

Impact and Progress of the Partnership

12.	What progress do you see in the Partnership generally? Where would you identify the biggest impact and where is the biggest weakness?
13.	What specific progress do you see in your area of work (in your EG/TG, especially related to the mid-term-goals, or as a CSR-representative)?

14.	In which areas can the Partnership make a better impact? How could this be achieved? In how far has the inclusion of “social well-being” been successful or not?
15.	How do you judge the formulation and implementation of the mid-term strategy? Will these goals be achieved? Will your particular EG/TG achieve these goals?

Commitment/ Motivation/ networking of the NDPHS actors

16.	How do you see your role in the NDPHS? Do you <i>need</i> NDPHS or is it just another venue for cooperation? Where is the added value in your cooperation with NDPHS?
17.	What can you contribute? Do you have constraints in resources? How do you judge the EU's funding opportunities in regard to NDPHS' activities?
18.	Is there a close link between your other work and your work for NDPHS? Do you think that activities are complementary to others outside the organisation or is it a “closed shop”?
19.	Is networking within the EG/TG important? Does the network extend beyond that? Do you benefit from other component of the overall NDPHS-network?
20.	Where do you see the major obstacles for progress? What are you proposals to overcome these obstacles?

Other issues

21.	In how far has the mid-term strategy helped or disturbed you in organising your work? If you see more problems, what would be the alternative? What should be included in the next five-year-strategy?
22.	Denmark and Canada have left the organisation. Do you see any chance to at least attract Denmark back into NDPHS? What kind of preconditions are, in your view, necessary to achieve that?
23.	Is there any other important topic on your mind you'd like to share with us?