

**TG on Alcohol and Drug Prevention among Youth  
2nd Meeting  
Tallinn, Estonia  
20- 21 January 2011**

<b>Reference</b>	ADPY 2/2/1
<b>Title</b>	Minutes
<b>Submitted by</b>	Lead Partner Sweden
<b>Summary / Note</b>	-
<b>Requested action</b>	Adoption

**1. Presentation of participants**

The following participants – ADPY-representatives, representatives for project partners and representatives from municipalities – attended the meeting:

Ms Magdalena Aniško, Adviser, BSSSC Secretariat

Ms Marisa da Silva, Project Coordinator, Sweden

Mr Jens J. Guslund, Senior Adviser, Norwegian Directorate for health

Mrs Matilda Hellman, project coordinator, Nordic centre for welfare and social issues (NVC)

Ms Nina Karlsson, Project assistant, Nordic Centre for Welfare and Social Issues (NVC)

Mrs Ketri Kupper, Chief Specialist of Drug Prevention, Pärnu City Government

Dr Håkan Leifman, Director, STAD/Sweden

Ms Anna Liedbergius, Head of Division, Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs (CAN)

Ms Karolina Mackiewicz, Coordinator, Baltic Region Healthy Cities Association

Mrs Ester Marjapuu, Urban Sociologist, Pärnu City Government

Mr Vilnis Meiers, Chairman of Center, Latvia/ Jurmala City Council / Jurmala City Welfare Department

Mrs Anna Radomska, Head of Unit, National Bureau for Drug Prevention, Poland

Mr Jon Sigfusson, Director, Iceland / ICSRA

Ms Triinu Täht, chief specialist, Ministry of Social Affairs of Estonia

Dr Zaza Tsereteli, International Technical Advisor, NDPHS

Ms Annika Veimer, Director of Public Health Programs, National Institute for Health Development

Mrs Katariina Warpenius, Researcher, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland

**2. Agenda adopted**

**3. Background on the project**

Mr Håkan Leifman gave a brief background on EU-strategy, the NDPHS-strategy and the proceeding of the flagship project (see background from ADPY 1 in Riga: [http://www.ndphs.org/?mtgs,adpy\\_tg\\_1\\_\\_riga](http://www.ndphs.org/?mtgs,adpy_tg_1__riga)).

**4. Participating partners in the development of the flagship project**

Baltic Region Healthy Cities Association, Baltic Sea States Subregional co-operation (BSSSC), Estonia, Finland, Iceland, Latvia, Nordic Council of Ministers, Norway, Poland, Russia and Sweden.

**5. Discussions on the contents of the workpackages**

Presentations by Mr Sigfúson, Ms Hellman, Ms Warpenius and Ms Mackiewicz (see app 1-3)

## **6. Design of application**

At the PAC Side Event (27 October 2010) donors recommendation to the ADPY TG was to split up the BADY-project into applications from regional programs, as no program will accept an application from all participating countries. We were also strongly recommended to involve Belarus in the Flagship-project. The recommendation was discussed at the BADY plan ning meeting in Moscow 10 November 2010. After a discussion in this forum we decided to split the application in two – one for Russia and one for the rest of the participating countries. Involving Belarus was not discussed at the ADPY TG 2 meeting.

## **7. Target group for the flagship project**

The age of the target group should be all teenagers, i.e. 13-19 year olds. The mapping is suggested to be targeting 15-16 year olds. The interventions should be targeting age groups between 13 and 19. Other available data can be used to monitor all target group. We had a discussion on that the debute age for drinking alcohol is much lower in some countries, but that it is difficult to measure risk- and protective factors for all age groups and most of the interventions that could be effective are developed for teenagers.

## **8. Design of the assessments**

We had a discussion on a follow up after two years of the initial assessments. Even though the effects can be limited it is preferred to have an early follow up, as the time limit can actually push the municipalities to make activities/interactions. After a discussion on control groups/cities we decided that it will not be recommended as the goals of the project are about empowerment and not on consumption. The opinion was that it would be too ambitious to expect behavioral changes on the target group, we are aiming for a positive development in community readiness and prevention structure.

Ethical probation will be required for the qualitative studies that are targeting 13-16 year olds. Important that the quantitative and qualitative researchers work together and are involved in their respectively processes. Important also to include the knowledge of existing legislation in the mapping. It was a discussion on the importance of involving experts on local government in the project (WP2). We would try to develop a sensitive “tool” that will also take into consideration the solutions the municipalities have in their existing preventive work, lack of resources in school compensated by parent-gropus for example. This part of the project will be based on the community readiness scales that are already existing and used, and also mapping that has also have been conducted by for example WHO. We also discussed that it can be difficult to make comparisons between countries as they are so different in structure and culture. Perhaps we could use the BADY-project to develop the AMPHORA-scale on alcohol as regards the parts on Public policy (VII).

Another topic for discussion was if intensity and dosage should be part of the mapping or only in the interventions that follows.

How to measure illicit drugs is a challenge. One specific topic that was discussed was whether to separate alcohol and illicit drugs. In some countries there are completely separate organizations/programs for the two substances. We also discussed that it is important to map the comprehensiveness, what happens when police takes a young person with drugs, will he/she be fined or offered help or treatment.

## **9. Criteria for participating municipalities**

The proposal of mapping to be conducted during the WP1 a) is based on a representative sample of 3000 respondents in the selected agegroup(s). That limits the number of municipalities that can be a partner in this project. Therefore the process will be that the TG representatives make a selection of municipalities. The mapping could also be at a national level to obtain the required representativity.

## **10. Discussion on timeline for project preparation**

The timeline for project preparation that was presented by the Baltic Region Healthy Cities Association in Moscow on 10 November 2010 (see app 4) was discussed. Due to shortage in time a tighter timeline was decided upon (see app 5):

- Feb 2011 Draft proposal with a draft on programmes to apply from is distributed by BADY Lead to the ADPY TG representatives. TG representatives contact their municipalities.  
Aim: To deliver information to the municipalities so that they can take the forthcoming project into consideration in the budget planning process for 2012.
- March 2011 Municipalities report interest to participate in the flagship project to their TG representative. TG-representatives inform BADY Lead.  
Aim: To have 2-3 municipalities from each participating country who are interested of being partners.
- April 2011 BADY Lead distributes a preliminary budget and project proposal to potential partners and TG representatives.  
Aim: To deliver enough information to the municipalities to make a final decision, based on the selected programme(s) for funding.
- May 2011 Municipalities make final decision and reports to TG representatives and BADY Lead.  
Aim: Draft application can be formed.
- June 2011 ADPY TG 3 (6-7 June 2011 in Helsinki) with final partners  
Aim: The partnership agreements are signed and application can be finalized.

## **11. Other issues on project organisation**

WP3 – Estonia are interested in taking the lead in this workpackage, to be confirmed.

WP4 – Russia to be contacted by Lead Partner.

ADPY Lead will contact interested cities in Lithuania and inform the CSR representative of Lithuania that contact will be taken.

A project co-ordinator, Ms Marisa da Silva, will work (50%) with the preparation process 1 February-30 June 2011.

An organigram on the project organization was discussed (see app 6).

## **12. Next meeting**

The next meeting will be held on 6-7 June 2011 in Helsinki (to be confirmed). Final partners will be invited to participate in the meeting.